







MEQ-01-2023-0001 - View Abstract

Simulating the environmental and economic effects of a carbon tax: A CGE analysis of Vietnam



*Do you want to get recognition for this review on Publons ?

Don't let your reviewing work go unnoticed! Researchers the world over use Publons to effortlessly track their valuable peer review contributions for any journal. If you opt in, your Publons profile will automatically be updated to show a verified record of this review in full compliance with the journal's review policy. If you don't have a Publons profile, you will be prompted to create a free account. Learn more about Publons

	Yes
\bigcirc	No

* 1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?

Yes, The originality of the paper containing new and significant information is good	
	/,

* 2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?

very good and relevant to the literature	
	//

* 3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?

the methodology is clear with a variety of good theoretical concepts	
	/

* 4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?

the results are good but need sharper improvements in the discussion of the results table adjusted to the title and research objectives

* 5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?

Implications for research, practice, and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly enough. this research can bridge the gap between theory and practice in influencing policy. the impact on society on carbon emissions is very real. Consistent research implications in suppressing carbon emissions

* 6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the fields and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.

The paper is clear in expressing cases, measured by the technical language of the field and sufficient knowledge. The paper describes the expression and sentence structure is good

\bigcirc	Accept
	Minor Revision
\bigcirc	Major Revision
\bigcirc	Reject

Confidential Comments to the Associate Editor-in-Chief

 ${f \Omega}$ Special Characters

*Comments to the Author

ΩSpecial Characters

the conclusion needs improvement by adding the results in the form of the amount of carbon emissions according to the title and research objectives