

# **TECHNIUM** Vol. 4 No. 6 2022



Home / Editorial Team

# **Editorial Team**

Thank you for completing the reviews for Technium.

We appreciate your contribution to the quality of the work that we publish in Technium (ISSN: 2668-778X). To add your reviews to Publons just forward email to <u>reviews@publons.com</u>



#### Honorific Committee & Editorial/Advisory board

Bitsoyev Vladimir Dodtievich - State Budgetary Healthcare Institution of the Moscow Region "Podolsk Regional Clinical Hospital", 38 Kirova str., Podolsk, Moscow Region, Russian Federation

Leontyev Anton Leonidovich- Southern Federal University, Russian Federation

Jean Pierre Habiyaremye - GEO-SPATIAL SOLUTIONS LTD, Rwanda

Ph.D. Ravi P. Agarwal - Texas A&M University-Kingsville, USA (link)

Prof. Eugen Victor Cristian RUSU - Dunarea de Jos Galati University, Romania (link)

Prof. Liliana Celia Rusu - Dunarea de Jos Galati University, Romania (link)

Prof. Aliyev Zakir Hussein Oglu - Agricultural Sciences, RAE academician RAPVHN and MAEP (link)

Ph. D. MBA George Dogarescu - S. C. GECO M.E.C. 2003 S.R.L.

Ph. D. Costel Stanca - Constanta Maritime University, Romania

Ph. D. Elsayed Ahmed - Kaferelsheikh University, Egypt

Dr. Raamani Thannimalai - Universiti Utara Malaysia (link)

Sovik Das - Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur (link)

Dr. V. Ananthaswamy - Research Centre & PG Department of Mathematics The Madura College, Madurai, India (<u>link</u>)

Seifedine Kadry - Beirut Arab University, Lebanon

Marina Block -Department of Architecture\_University of Naples "Federico II", Italy

Valbona Uka , Researcher , Kosovo

Dr. Djamel SABA - Research Unit for Renewable Energies in Saharan Region-EPST CDER, Adrar, Algeria

PhD Chem Eng Cristina-Elisabeta Pelin - INCAS - National Institute for Aerospace Research "Elie Carafoli", ROMANIA (<u>CV</u>)

Dr. Aashish Roy - Department of Chemistry and Materials Science, Karnataka, India (Scopus)



Willy Teniwut - Tual State Fisheries Polytechnic, Indonesia Sorin Cananau - University "Politehnica" of Bucharest , Romania Mohamad Anuar Bin Kamaruddin - Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia Ionel Barbu - Aurel Vlaicu University from Arad, Romania Mohd Firdaus Bin Omar - Universiti Malaysia Perlis, Malaysia

Camelia Ungureanu - University "Politehnica" of Bucharest, Romania Stefan Grigorean - SC Padre Ton SRL, Romania Corina Botoca - Universitatea Politehnica Timișoara, Romania Florin Valentin Rusca - University "Politehnica" of Bucharest, Romania Seifedine Kadry - Beirut Arab University, Lebanon Gabriela Carja - Gheorghe Asachi Technical University of Iasi, Romania Mohd Remy Rozainy - Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia Laura-Cristina Rusu - Victor Babes University of Medicine and Pharmacy Timisoara, Romania M Adhi Prasnowo - Directory of Open Access Journal, Indonesia Dan Obreja - Dunarea de Jos University of Galati, Romania Elisabeta Mihaela Ciortea - Universitatea "1 Decembrie 1918" din Alba Iulia, Romania Irina Mihaela Esanu - UMF Facultatea de Medicină Iași, Romania Ionelia Voiculescu - University "Politehnica" of Bucharest, Romania Bosneagu Romeo - Mircea cel Batran Naval Academy Constanta, Romania Ohd Fathullah Bin Ghazli - Universiti Malaysia Perlis., Malaysia Fanel Scheaua - Dunarea de Jos University of Galati, Romania Ikmal Hakem A Aziz - Center of Excellence Geopolymer & Green Technology (CEGeoGTech), Malaysia Isna Rasdianah - Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar, Indonesia Nicanor Cimpoesu - Univeristatea Tehnică "Gheorghe Asachi" din Iași, Romania Pop Ovidiu Aurel - Universitatea Tehnică din Cluj-Napoca, Romania Florin Medeleanu - Academia Tehnică Militară, Romania Ionel Chirica - Dunarea de Jos University of Galati, Romania Axinte Tiberiu - Research Center for Navy, Romania Corina Varsami - Constanta Maritime University, Romania Ionescu Viorel - Universitatea Ovidius Constanta, Romania Camil Ion Craciun - Autoritatea Feroviară Română - AFER, Romania Emanuel Puschita - Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania Tudorache Mihaela Cristina - Bucharest Politechnic University, Romania Eltion Halimi - University of Tirana, Albania Lungu Cristian Victor - Dunarea de Jos University of Galati, Romania Lidia Benea - Dunarea de Jos University of Galati, Romania Ionut Scurtu - Mircea cel Batran Naval Academy Constanta, Romania (link) Radu Vasiu - Politehnica University of Timisoara, Romania Nistoran Georgeta Diana - Ministerul Apărării Naționale, Romania Traian Mazilu - University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania Dumitriu Mădălina - University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania Manuela Nechita - Dunarea de Jos University of Galati, Romania Supriyadi, ST. - Universitas Mercubuana, Indonesia Katarzyna Pietrucha-Urbanik - Rzeszow University of Technology, Poland Aytac Perihan Akan - Hacettepe University, Turkey Mehmet Sener - Tekirdag Namık Kemal University, Turkey

Mihaela Rus - Ovidius University of Constanta, Romania Tanase Tasente - Ovidius University of Constanta, Romania Mariana Petrova - ISMA University Riga, Latvia Obid Tursunov - American Institute of Science, USA Csongor Báthory - University of Miskol, Hungary Hen Friman - Holon Institute Of Technology, Israel Adriana Tokar - Politehnica University Timisoara, Romania Maruf A. Aminu - Nile University of Nigeria, Nigeria Lam Sze Mun - Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Malaysia LLuc Canals - Institut de Recerca en Enginyeria de Catalunya (IREC), Spain Alexandra Danciu - Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania Seifedine Kadry - Kaunas University of Technology, Lebanon Maria Claudia Surugiu - University "POLITEHNICA" of Bucharest, Romania Mariana-Florentina Stefănescu - University "POLITEHNICA" of Bucharest, Romania Ali Reza Khoddami - Shahrood University of Technology, Iran Pulak Konar - Amity University, India Anand Nayyar - Vietnam Researcher, Vietnam Mohamed Louzani - University Polytechnic of Milan, Italy Nidal M. M. Turab - Al-Ahliyya Amman University, Jordan Ricardo Branco - University of Coimbra, Portugal B. Sachuthananthan - Sree Vidyanikethan Engineering College, India Abul Kalam Hossain - Aston University, UK Voicea Iulian-Florin - INMA București, Romania Ioana Madalina Moldovan - Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania Ryszard Pukala - Bronisław Markiewicz State Higher School of Technology and Economics in Jarosław, Poland João Pedro Panagassi Forte - University Institute of Lisbon, Portugal Vladimir Belokopytov - Russian Academy of Sciences, Russia Dnyaneshwar Kantaram Jadhav - Shree Dhanwantari, India Ehsan Saebnoori - Azad University, Iran Carmen Mateescu - National Institute for Research and Development in Electrical Engineering ICPE-CA, Romania Sergiu Olteanu - University "POLITEHNICA" of Bucharest, Romania Elena Neacsu - Horia Hulubei National Institute (IFIN-HH), Romania Pravin Deshpande - College of Engineering Pune, India Crăciun Camil Ion - Autoritatea Feroviară Română - AFER, Romania Vimala R - PSNA College of Engineering and Technology, Dindigul., India Miron Zapciu - University POLITEHNICA of Bucharest, Romania Kappel Wilhelm - ICPE Bucharest, Romania Violeta Rasheva - University of Food Technologies, Bulgaria Dan Dobrota - University of Sibiu, Romania

Simona Halunga - University POLITEHNICA of Bucharest, Romania Mândrea Lucian - University POLITEHNICA of Bucharest, Romania Zamen Karm Mekhelf - University of Technology, Iraq Oleksiy Kuznyetsov - Hetman Petro Sahaidachnyi National Army Academy, Ukraine Anmar AlSaadi - General Organization for the maintenance of irrigation and drainage projects, Iraq Oumar Drame - Cheikh Anta Diop University in Dakar, Senegal Sebastian Valeriu Hudisteanu - Gheorghe Asachi Technical University of Iasi, Romania Ali Majdi - Al-Fao Public Company For Construction Projects, Iraq Cristian Paul Chioncel - Universitatea "Eftimie Murgu" din Reșița, Romania Claudia Maria Simonescu - University "Politehnica" of Bucharest, Romania Elena Avram - Univeristatea Tehnică "Gheorghe Asachi" din Iași, Romania Mitica Iustinian Neaca - University of Craiova, Romania Laura Bulgariu - "Gheorghe Asachi" Technical University of Iasi, Romania Iliya Iliev - Ruse University, Bulgaria E Robert-Alexandru Dobre - University "Politehnica" of Bucharest, Romania Ajay Gadicha - P.R.Pote College, India Mohamed Abdel Fatah - Zagazig University, Egipt Youcef Sahli - Unité de Recherche en Energies Renouvelables, Algeria Andreea-Rodica Sterian - University POLITEHNICA of Bucharest, Romania Florin Postolache - Mircea cel Batran Naval Academy Constanta, Romania Eugen Lupu - Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania Nurul Aziza - Journal TEKNIKA, Indonesia Eva-Maria Elkan - Universitatea Dunarea de Jos, Romania ROCSANA Bucea-Manea-Tonis - Spiru Haret University, Romania Vikrant Verma - Kharvel Subharti College, India Deepika Vodnala - Vignana Bharathi Institute of Technology, India Marcin Grzegorz Nabiałek - Czestochowa University of Technology, Polonia Ali Al-Shawi - University of Basrah, Iraq Anca Constantin - Ovidius University from Constanta, Romania Ovidiu Sorin Cupsa - Constanta Maritime University, Romania Andra Negru - Military Technical Academy Ferdinand I, Romania Costel Stanca - Constanta Maritime University, Romania Anca Sirbu - Constanta Maritime University, Romania

Rigorous peer-reviews are the basis of high-quality academic publishing. Thanks to the great efforts of our reviewers!

Editorial office contact information: editor@techniumscience.com



**Technium (ISSN: 2668-778X)** is indexed in the following international databases:

Google

Scholar





Editorial Team | Technium: Romanian Journal of Applied Sciences and Technology

oublons









DIRECTORY OF OPEN ACCESS SCHOLARLY RESOURCES Editorial Team | Technium: Romanian Journal of Applied Sciences and Technology

# S WorldCat®







# EconPapers



Editorial Team | Technium: Romanian Journal of Applied Sciences and Technology













**Make a Submission** 

Information

**For Readers** 

For Authors

For Librarians





Home / Archives / Vol. 4 No. 6 (2022): Applied Sciences and Technology

## Vol. 4 No. 6 (2022): Applied Sciences and Technology



The published volume contains papers accepted papers in **Technium: Romanian Journal of Applied Sciences and Technology (ISSN: 2668-778X).** The curent volume title is **"Applied Sciences and Technology"** and aimed to cover a large areea of engineering, management and applied sciences. Research articles, review articles as well as short communications were published in this international peer-reviewed open access volume of Technium: Romanian Journal of Applied Sciences and Technology (ISSN: 2668-778X).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47577/technium.v4i6

Published: 2022-06-22

#### Articles

#### Simulation of an electro-hydraulic circuit for ALARS

I.C. Duțu, T. Axinte, O. Vlăduț, R. Damian, M.Fl. Duțu 1-10



The application of factor analysis (FA) in evaluating supplier selection criteria in PT. Wijaya Karya Beton Tbk and ranking suppliers using integration of analytical hierarchy process (AHP) and adaptive ratio assessment (ARAS)

Tri Wahyuningsih, Agus Ristono, Ahmad Muhsin 11-17 🖾 PDF

#### Survey of Blowfish Algorithm for Cloud

### Shamil Ezadeen, Auday H. Alwattar

18-28



#### Analyze of multipurpose ship main dimensions designed by technical criterion

Yordan Denev 29-34



#### Analytical Study of the Penetration of Long Rod Projectiles with Conical and Blunt Nose in Normal and Oblique Ceramic Targets

Bahman Salimi ; Khodadad Vahedi ; Amin Moslemi Petrudi; Masoud Rahmani, Ionut Cristian Scurtu 35-49

🖾 PDF

#### Increase in average crop and leanness of eucalyptus (Eucalyptus Pelita) at Pt Itci Hutani Manunggal, Kutai Kartanegara Regency

Legowo Kamarubayana, Zuhdi Yahaya, Hasanuddin 50-57

🖾 PDF

#### Modeling and fault detection of a turbofan engine by deep-learning approach

Offole Florence, Essola Dieudonné, Fohoue Kennedy, Kamgua Piam, Mela Rodrigue, Issonj Nelson 58-83

🖾 PDF

#### **Constructing a Software Tool to Optimize Performance by Coupling Detection**

Tawfeeq Mokdad Tawfeeq 84-98

🖾 PDF

# Improved ship position stability on offshore-based dynamic position maintenance with the PID method

Hermono Dutopo, Arief Marwanto, Suryani Alifah, Malikhatul Hidayah

99-112

🖾 PDF



**Technium (ISSN: 2668-778X)** is indexed in the following international databases:

Google





oublons









RÓA

DIRECTORY OF OPEN ACCESS SCHOLARLY RESOURCES

# S WorldCat®







# EconPapers















**Make a Submission** 

Information

**For Readers** 

For Authors

For Librarians





### The application of factor analysis (FA) in evaluating supplier selection criteria in PT. Wijaya Karya Beton Tbk and ranking suppliers using Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) and Adaptive Ratio Assessment (ARAS)

Tri Wahyuningsih<sup>1)</sup>, Agus Ristono<sup>2)\*)</sup>, Ahmad Muhsin<sup>2)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup> Department of Management, UPN Veteran Yogyakarta, Indonesia

<sup>2)</sup> Department of Industrial Engineering, UPN Veteran Yogyakarta, Indonesia

\*) Corresponding author; e-mail: agus.ristono@upnyk.ac.id

Abstract. The selection of a concrete iron supplier is critical for a long-term supply chain in the infrastructure industry. Due to the participation of various qualitative and quantitative elements, the evaluation process of concrete iron supplier selection is a difficult work for decision specialists. Because uncertainty is widespread in concrete iron supplier selection challenges, improving integrated criteria selection and supplier selection procedures has proven to be one of the most efficient and superior ways to represent practical difficulties. The current study presents a novel framework for evaluating and selecting a preferred concrete iron supplier based on Factor Analysis and the ARAS (Adaptive Ratio Assessment) method, as well as the AHP (Analytical Hierarchy Process) methodology. An enhanced technique is used in the proposed method to determine the criteria weights based on expert preferences. Next, an actual case study of the concrete iron supplier selection problem is conducted in a comprehensive setting to demonstrate the effectiveness and practicability of the suggested methodology. A sensitivity analysis is also undertaken to ensure that the stated methodology is stable. Finally, the strength of the resulting result is tested by comparing it to current methodologies. The final results show that the established framework is more consistent and powerful than other approaches already in use.

Keywords. Supplier selection, ARAS, AHP, Factor Analysis.

#### 1. Introduction

PT Wijaya Karya Beton Tbk Indonesia is one of the manufacturing companies to produce concrete for infrastructure. The products that are often made are piles, power poles, rail bearings, bridge concrete products, retaining walls, water construction concrete, building concrete, and maritime building concrete. The products most often made by this company are piles to strengthen buildings and foundations for various types of buildings. During the 2018-2020 period, the company produced piles with an average monthly production of more than 2000 units. The more building construction, the more demand for piles. The main raw material for this pile is a connecting plate. This connection plate is supplied from several suppliers.

Supplier is one of the success factors of the company. Therefore, supplier performance needs special attention so that the products produced are in accordance with the needs and desires of the company [1]. Several times the company experienced disappointment with the supplier because it could not meet the expectations of the company [2]. Competition in the industrial world requires companies to build a good



supply chain, including maintaining relationships with suppliers [1]. To maximize the company's performance and minimize the disappointment of PT Wijaya Karya Beton Tbk, the company conducts an assessment to determine the priority of supplier selection. Problems in supplier selection are included in the decision making of many criteria [3]. One of the many criteria decision-making techniques that is *adaptive ratio assessment* (ARAS). ARAS requires criterion weight. Many supplier selection studies use AHP to calculate the weight of the criteria [4].

The use of AHP-ARAS integration has been done by many previous researchers. Streimikiene et al [5] used the AHP and ARAS methods to select the best power plant technology supplier. Tamošaitienė et al. [6] evaluate the construction company suppliers using the AHP and ARAS methods. Ozdagoglu et al [7] applied integration of AHP and ARAS in a study on supplier selection for water treatment facilities. The latest research was conducted by Fu and Yan-Kai [8], to find the best supplier for airline catering.

In previous studies, only focused on supplier selection. Meanwhile, the criteria used are from the company. In fact, there may be other influential criteria that have not been used in their studies. The methods that can be used in the selection of criteria are DEMATEL, ANOVA, Principal Component Analysis (PCA), ISM/Interpretive Structural Modeling), and SEM/Structural Equation Modeling [9]. Therefore, this study proposes the selection of criteria using factor analysis.

#### 2. Method

Three stages of this research are (1) selection of criteria using factor analysis, (2) criteria weighting using AHP, and (3) supplier selection using ARAS. In the first stage, factor analysis technique used is Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA), so it's necessary to test the normality of the data. The purpose of the normality test is to find out whether criteria as variable is normal or not. Normal distributed data means data considered be representative of the population. The normality test technique used is One Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S). In the factor analysis, KMO test used to find out whether all the data that has been taken is sufficient for taken into account. The factor rotation method used is vary-max. The validity of the correlation between variables is taken by analysing the result of Kaiser-Mayer-Oklin (KMO) Measure of Sampling Adequacy (SA) test.

In the second stage, there are four steps, i.e. (1) define the problem and construct a hierarchy of problems; (2) determining the priority of elements using pair-wise comparison matrix; (3) synthesis; (4) measuring consistency. In the last stage, there are five steps, i.e. (1) create a supplier assessment matrix; (2) matrix normalization; (3) weighted matrix normalization; (4) optimization value; (5) utilization measurement.

In the synthesis step of pair-wise comparison matrix, it consists of (1) adding up the values in the column (total value of the column); (2) divide the value in the column by total value of the column; (3) add up the row values and divide by the number of elements to get the average value (relative priority element or relative weight of the criteria) [10]. In the measuring consistency, it consists of (1) multiply each value in the column by the relative priority of its element; (2) adding up the values in the row (total value of the row); (3) the total value of the row divided by its relative priority elements ( $\lambda$  value); and then (4) calculate average of the  $\lambda$  value ( $\lambda_{max}$  value); (5) calculate the consistency ratio using equation (1) [11]. If the consistency ratio is less than 0.1, the relative weight of the criteria is declared valid.

$$CR = \frac{\frac{(\lambda_{max} - n)}{(n-1)}}{IR} \tag{1}$$

In the step of matrix normalization, it consists of two conditions, following the two type of criteria. The first type of criterion is the cost criterion, that is, the larger the data, the smaller the value. The second type of criterion is the profit criterion, i.e. the larger the data, the greater the value. The normalization matrix for the cost criteria uses equation (2) [10]. The normalization matrix for the profit criteria uses equation (2) [10]. The normalization matrix for the profit criteria uses equation (3) [12]. Equation (4) is used for weighted matrix normalization [13]. Optimization value calculation and utilization measurement using equation (5) and (6) [13].



$$\overline{x_{ij}} = \frac{\frac{1}{x_{ij}}}{\sum_{i=0}^{m} \left[\frac{1}{x_{ij}}\right]}$$
(2)

$$\overline{x_{ij}} = \frac{x_{ij}}{\sum_{i=0}^{m} [x_{ij}]}$$
(3)

$$\widehat{x}_{ij} = \overline{x_{ij}} \cdot w_j \tag{4}$$

$$S_i = \sum_{j=0}^n \hat{x}_{ij} \tag{5}$$

$$K_i = \frac{S_i}{S_0} \tag{6}$$

#### 3. Result and Discussion

Table 1 lists the paragraph styles defined in this template. In the identification of criteria, a collection of criteria from various literatures is presented in the form of a questionnaire. Questionnaires were given to decision makers in the research object, namely PT. Wijaya Karya Beton Tbk. The summary of the criteria collection and results of the questionnaire can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1	. Summary of the criteria colle	ection.

Criteria	Significant	Normal	Literature
Price	0,024	Х	[14]
Discount	0,087	$\checkmark$	[1]
Payment term	0,033	Х	[15]
Quality	0,211	$\checkmark$	[16]
Certification	0,01	Х	[14]
Delivery	0,078	$\checkmark$	[17]
Packaging	0,007	Х	[15]
Flexibility	0,113	$\checkmark$	[16]
Shipment	0,034	Х	[18]
Location	0,255		[19]
Accessibility	0,156		[15]
CS & Warranty	0,168		[18]
Relationship	0,224		[20]
Finance & Capital	0,205		[19]
Reputation & Experience	0,170		[15]
Organization & Management	0,063		[15]
HRD	0,073		[18]
Culture	0,358		[21]
Production Planning	0,070		[19]
Facilities Support System	0,183		[22]
Production Capacity	0,015	Х	[16]
R & D	0,106		[20]
Technology Capability	0,334	$\checkmark$	[18]
Health & Safety	0,092	$\checkmark$	[23]
Welfare, equity & Stakeholder's	0,060	$\checkmark$	[22]
right			[23]
Social assistance community	0,061	$\checkmark$	[23]



Disaster prone	0,289	$\checkmark$	[24]	
Unrest social, economy & politic	0,075	$\checkmark$	[25]	
Legality	0,012	Х	[16]	
Pollutant	0,371	$\checkmark$	[26]	
Green Competence	0,378	$\checkmark$	[14]	

In five iterations of KMO-MSA processing, the results obtained that meet the factor analysis. Tables 2 and 3 show a summary of the factor analysis results. It can be concluded from Figures 2 and 3 that the outcomes of factor analysis are the four primary factors or main criteria. The first main criteria consist of flexibility, location, organization and management, and social assistance community. The second main criteria consist of Accessibility, HRD, Production Planning, and Facilities Support System. The third main criteria consist of Reputation & Experience, Disaster Prone, Technology Capability, and Green Competence. The fourth major criterion consists of relationships.

Table 2. Explained of total variance.					
Criteria	Initial of va	Initial of value eigen		Squared loadings extraction sums	
	Total	% of Var.	Cum. %	Total	% of Var.
1	4,84	37,26	37,268	4,84	37,26
2	1,83	14,14	51,409	1,83	14,14
3	1,39	10,74	62,158	1,39	10,74
4	1,23	9,46	71,618	1,23	9,46
5	,98	7,61	79,228		
6	,65	5,05	84,284		
7	,55	4,28	88,567		
8	,43	3,37	91,938		
9	,33	2,53	94,478		
10	,26	2,06	96,541		
11	,19	1,48	98,024		
12	,14	1,12	99,147		
13	,11	,853	100.00		

Table 3. Rotated component matrix(a).					
Criteria	Main Criteria				
	1	2	3	4	
1	0,766	-0,250	0,153	-0,056	
2	0,729	-0,029	-0,275	0,338	
3	-0,366	0,394	0,350	-0,213	
4	0,073	-0,153	-0,107	0,911	
5	-0,091	0,381	0,760	-0,015	
6	0,863	-0,041	-0,114	-0,013	
7	-0,139	0,778	0,224	-0,317	
8	0,012	0,858	0,103	-0,011	
9	-0,280	0,723	0,162	0,028	
10	-0,533	0,184	0,554	-0,108	
11	0,582	-0,514	-0,051	-0,343	
12	0,112	0,018	0,907	-0,207	
13	-0,415	0,202	0,604	0,363	

A pairwise comparison matrix was created using the four main criteria and the sub-criteria. The decision maker from the research item filled in the pairwise comparison matrix (PT. Wijaya Karya Beton



Tbk). The weight of the primary criteria and the weight of the sub-criteria were calculated using AHP and the pairwise comparison matrix.

Table 5 is supplier data based on sub-criteria. This data is obtained from the supplier. Then the data is processed using equation (2) to equation (6). The weights in equation (4) are obtained from Table 4. The results of processing using these equations are Figure 1.

	Table 4. The weight of the main criteria and sub criteria.				
No	Main criteria	Sub criteria	Local priority	Global priority	
1	A = supplier	A1 = flexibility	0.4984	0.21984	
	essential	A2 = location	0.2800	0.12351	
		A3 = Organization and Management	0.1181	0.05209	
		A4 = Social Community Assistance	0.1035	0.04567	
2	$\mathbf{B} = $ supplier	B1 = accessibility	0.5132	0.15006	
	capability	B2 = HRD	0.1754	0.05127	
		B3 = Production planning	0.2247	0.06571	
		B4 = Facilities support system	0.0866	0.02533	
3	C = supplier	C1 = Reputation and Experience	0.05127	0.01097	
	sustainability	C2 = Disaster prone	0.20474	0.04382	
		C3 = Technology capability	0.34699	0.07426	
		C4 = Green competence	0.39700	0.08496	
4	D =	_			
	relationship	D1 = relationship	0.05250	0.05250	

	• 1 • 0		• . •	1 1	•. •
Table 4. Th	e weight of	the main	criteria	and sub	criteria.

 Table 5. Supplier assessment.

	ne et suppliet u			
Sub criteria	PT. ISBS	PT. MS	PT. CBS	PT.ICT
A1 = flexibility	3.44	3.25	2.77	3.73
A2 = location	0.24	0.29	0.40	0.53
A3 = Organization and Management	2.70	3.59	3.73	2.49
A4 = Social Community Assistance	2.86	3.73	2.93	2.49
B1 = accessibility	0.31	0.29	0.56	0.32
B2 = HRD	3.44	4.13	2.17	3.59
B3 = Production planning	3.59	2.70	3.95	4.13
B4 = Facilities support system	3.73	2.86	2.93	3.90
C1 = Reputation and Experience	1.78	2.77	3.73	3.78
C2 = Disaster prone	0.46	0.40	0.32	0.31
C3 = Technology capability	3.95	3.73	3.25	2.35
C4 = Green competence	2.93	2.93	3.25	2.70
D1 = relationship	4.57	4.13	3.95	3.73

#### 4. Conclusion

Important criteria in supplier selection are the main criteria consisting of sub-criteria flexibility, location, organization and management, as well as social community assistance. The other criteria are supplier capability, supplier sustainability, and supplier relationship. The trial results of the proposed method in real conditions (concrete industry) produce consistent solutions that are faster and simple.



#### References

- [1] V. YADAV and M. K. SHARMA: Multi-criteria supplier selection model using the AHP approach, *J. of Modelling in Management*, ISSN 1746-5664, **11** (1), 326 354 (2016).
- [2] A. RISTONO, T. WAHYUNINGSIH, and E. JUNIANTO: Proposed Method for Supplier Selection, *Technium Social Sciences Journal*, ISSN 2668-7798, **13**, 376-394 (2020).
- [3] A. RISTONO: New Method of Criteria Weighting for Supplier Selection, *Russian Journal of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences*, ISSN 2226-1184, **3** (87), 349 369 (2018).
- [4] A. RISTONO, PRATIKTO, P. B. SANTOSO, and I. P. TAMA: Modified AHP to Select New Suppliers in The Indonesian Steel Pipe Industry, *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, ISSN 1823-4690, 13 (12), 3894 – 3907 (2018).
- [5] D. STREIMIKIENE, J. SLIOGERIENE, and Z. TURSKIS: Multi-criteria analysis of electricity generation technologies in Lithuania, *Renewable Energy*, ISSN 0960-1481, 85, 148-156 (2016).
- [6] J. TAMOŠAITIENE, E. K. ZAVADSKAS, I. ŠILEIKAITE, and Z. TURSKIS: A Novel Hybrid MCDM Approach for Complicated SCM Problems in Construction, *Procedia Engineering*, ISSN 1877-7058, **172**, 1137-1145 (2017).
- [7] A. ÖZDAGOGLU, K. YILMAZ, & E. ÇIRCIN: An Integration of HF-AHP & ARAS Techniques in Supplier Selection: A Case Study in Waste Water Treatment Facility, *Dokuz Eylul Universitesi Iktisadi ve Idari Bilimler Dergisi*, ISSN 1308-8173, 33 (2), 477-497 (2018).
- [8] Y. K. FU: An integrated approach to catering supplier selection using AHP-ARAS-MCGP methodology, *Journal of Air Transport Management*, ISSN 0969-6997, 75, 164-169 (2019).
- [9] A. RISTONO, PRATIKTO, P. B. SANTOSO, and I. P. TAMA: A Literature Review of Design of Criteria for Supplier Selection, *J. of Industrial Engineering and Management*, ISSN 2013-8423, 11 (4), 680-696 (2018).
- [10] D. O. REGAR, and A. MURSADIN.: Analysis of alternative selection for vertical clearance design under tabalong bridge of PT. Adaro Indonesia, *Technium*, ISSN 2668-778X, 4 (1), 7-30 (2022).
- [11] T. L. SAATY: Analytic Hierarchy Process. New York: Mc.Graw-Hill, 1980.
- [12] E. K. ZAVADSKAS, and Z. TURSKIS: A new ARAS (additive ratio assessment) method in multicriteria decision-making, *Ukio Technologinis ir Ekonominis Vystymas*, ISSN 2029-4913, 16 (2), 159-172 (2010).
- [13] E. K. ZAVADSKAS, N. Y. PEHLIVAN, A. ŞAHIN, and Z. TURSKIS: A Comparative Study of Integrated FMCDM Methods for Evaluation of Organizational Strategy Development, J. of Business Economics and Management, ISSN 1611-1699, 19 (2), 360–381 (2018).
- [14] A. AZIMIFARD, S. H. MOOSAVIRAD, and S. ARIAFAR: Selecting sustainable supplier countries for Iran's steel industry at three levels by using AHP and TOPSIS methods, *Resources Policy*, ISSN 0301-4207, 57, 30–44 (2018).
- [15] N. ERGINEL, and A. GECER: Fuzzy Multi-Objective Decision Model for Calibration Supplier Selection Problem, *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, ISSN 0360-8352, **102**, 166-174 (2017).
- [16] S. LUTHRA, K. GOVINDAN, D. KANNAN, S. K. MANGLA, & C. P. GARG: An integrated framework for sustainable supplier selection and evaluation in supply chains, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, ISSN 0959-6526, 143 (3), 686-1698 (2017).
- [17] F. DWEIRI, S. KUMAR, S. A. KHAN, & V. JAIN: Designing an integrated AHP based decision support system for supplier selection in automotive industry, *Expert Systems with Applications*, ISSN 0957-4174, 62, 273–283 (2016).
- [18] N. BANAEIAN, H. MOBLI, B. FAHIMNIA, I. E. NIELSEN, & M. OMID: Green supplier selection using fuzzy group decision making methods: A case study from the agri-food industry, *Computers and Operations Research*, ISSN 0305-0548, 89, 337-347 (2018).
- [19] M. R. GALANKASHI, S. A. HELMI, and P. HASHEMZAHI: Supplier selection in automobile industry: A mixed balanced scorecard-fuzzy AHP approach, *Alexandria Engineering Journal*,



ISSN 1110-0168, 55, 93-100 (2016).

- [20] Y. WU, K. CHEN, B. ZENG, H. XU, and Y. YANG: Supplier selection in nuclear power industry with extended VIKOR method under linguistic information, *Applied Soft Computing*, ISSN 1568-4946, 48, 444–457 (2016).
- [21] A. HEIDARZADE, I. MAHDAVI, and N. M. AMIRI: Supplier selection using a clustering method based on a new distance for interval type-2 fuzzy sets: A case study, *Applied Soft Computing*, ISSN 1568-4946, **38**, 213 231 (2016).
- [22] J. REZAEI, T. NISPELING, J. SARKIS, and L. TAVASSZY: A supplier selection life cycle approach integrating traditional and environmental criteria using the best worst method, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, ISSN 0959-6526, **135**, 577-588 (2016).
- [23] M. MARZOUK, and M. SABBAH: AHP-TOPSIS social sustainability approach for selecting supplier in construction supply chain, *Cleaner Environmental Systems*, ISSN 2666-7894, **2**, 100034 (2021).
- [24] M. S. MEMON, Y. H. LEE, and S. I. MARI: Group multi-criteria supplier selection using combined grey systems theory and uncertainty theory, *Expert Systems with Applications*, ISSN 0957-4174, 42, (21), 7951 – 7959 (2015).
- [25] S. H. HASHEMI, A. KARIMI, and M. TAVANA: An integrated green supplier selection approach with analytic network process and improved Grey relation analysis, *Intl. J. of Production Economics*, ISSN 0925-5273, **159**, 178 191 (2015).
- [26] S. GUPTA, U. SONI, and G. KUMAR: Green supplier selection using multi-criterion decision making under fuzzy environment: A case study in automotive industry, *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, ISSN 0360-8352, 136, 663–680 (2019).