# PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

# International Conference on Earth Science, Mineral, and Energy With the theme, "Harmonizing on Exploration, Extraction, and Conservation"

To cite this article: 2018 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 212 011001

View the article online for updates and enhancements.



This content was downloaded from IP address 103.236.192.220 on 24/03/2021 at 07:49



Preface

It has been twelve years Faculty of Mineral Technology Universitas Pembangunan Veteran Yogyakarta held an annual national or international conference on energy, mineral, and earth science. This year the conference was called International Conference on Earth Science, Mineral and Energy (ICEMINE) 2018 with the theme "Harmonizing on Exploration, Extraction and Conservation". This conference was held on 11th October 2018 and hosted by the Faculty of Mineral Technology, Universitas Pembangunan Nasional Veteran Yogyakarta.

Geological conditions of Indonesia contribute a lot of mineral and energy resources. On the other hand, Indonesia also has high risks of geological disasters. We all have the right to explore and extract the mineral and energy resources in the earth through technology, but also have to maintain the environmental impacts and carry out conservation. Therefore, in this conference, we invite all geologists, geophysicists, petroleum engineers, mining engineers, metallurgist, environment and geo-tourism geoscientist as well as earth science students from all parts of society and institution of the world to share their knowledge, experience and concepts, in order an explore, extract and conserve. We wish those three activities could develop in harmony.

Yogyakarta, 11 October 2018

Editor in Chief

Dr. Ir. Sutarto

OPEN ACCESS			012035
Three dimension	al slope stability a	analysis of open pit limestone mine in Rembang District, Central Java	
M A Azizi, I Marwar	nza, S A Amala and N	A Hartanti	
+ Open abstract	View article	🔁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS			012036
Support system	analysis in buildin	g diversion tunnel ST1-ST4 of Leuwikeris dam construction at West Java Province	
R H Prakoso and S	Rachman		
+ Open abstract	View article	🔁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS			012037
Stope stability an method	nalysis of limeston	e ex-mined area at Ponjong sub-district Special Region of Yogyakarta using finite	element
F Sariandi, G V Putr	a, G V Setyorini and S	Saptono	
+ Open abstract	View article	PDF	
Geological Scie	ences		
OPEN ACCESS			012038
Diversity model	of Pliocene-Pleisto	ocene nannofossil of Kendeng Zone	
S U Choiriah, C Pras	setyadi, R Kapid and I	D F Yudiantoro	
+ Open abstract	View article	📂 PDF	

# PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

# Stope stability analysis of limestone ex-mined area at Ponjong subdistrict Special Region of Yogyakarta using finite element method

To cite this article: F Sariandi et al 2018 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 212 012037

View the article online for updates and enhancements.



This content was downloaded from IP address 103.236.192.8 on 02/10/2020 at 07:26

**IOP** Publishing

# Stope stability analysis of limestone ex-mined area at Ponjong sub-district Special Region of Yogyakarta using finite element method

F Sariandi<sup>1\*</sup>, G V Putra<sup>1</sup>, G V Setyorini<sup>1</sup>, and S Saptono<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mining Engginering, University of Pertambangan Nasional "Veteran" Yogyakarta located Jl. SWK (north ringroad) 104 Yogyakarta 55283, Indonesia

\*corresponding author: fsariandi@gmail.com

**Abstract**. Limestone mining activity in Gunungsewu karst terrain of Gunung Kidul, Yogyakarta has been long time to be the livelihood for local communities. Evidently, by the number of ex-mining that have not been maintained and one of them looks like a stope hole in underground mining and failures could be occur at the stope. In this case, the sustainability of geoheritage area required geothecnical analysis to anticipate possibility of failure. This research conducted on traditional mining area in Sidorejo Village, Ponjong sub-district, Gunungkidul by comparing between the Hoek Brown and Mohr Coulomb failure criterion. Hoek-Brown criterion considering the geologic structures of rock mass and classification of rock mass using Geological Strength Index (GSI) whereas Mohr-Coulomb criterion is based on laboratory testing of intact rocks that will be affect to the value estimation of sigma 1, sigma 3, strength factor, and total displacement at the stope. Stability of stope will be analysed using finite element method that assisted with software Phase 2. The result of this research can be used as a reference to determine an appropriate acts to preserve the Gunungsewu geoheritage area.

#### 1. Introduction

Mining activities karst area of Gunungkidul Regency has been long enough called the livelihood of the surrounding community. The consequence of limited information and communication technology and understanding of good mining practice at that time resulted in mining activities that did not consider the safety, health and environment (K3L). One of the influences that emerged was the hole of the former penangangang that has not been maintained and there is the potential collapse of Turi Hamlet, District Ponjong, Gunungkidul, DIY.

To anticipate the collapse of the opening hole is required the existence of geotechnical analysis in the opening hole of the former mining. In this stability analysis of openings, two criteria of rock collapse are Hoek-Brown collapse criteria and Mohr-Coulomb's criterion as a comparator in finite element method.



Figure 1. Map of the research area.

Figure 2. The opening stope location.

The purpose of this research are:

- Determining the mass classification of rocks at the opening holes.
- Estimating the value of Sigma 1, Sigma 3, total displacement, and strength factor in the openings.
- Compare the results of the calculations with Hoek-Brown and Mohr-Coulomb collapse criteria.

Scope of problem

Limitations of the problem in this study are:

- The stability analysis of the openings is limited to one opening of the former limestone mining at the study site.
- Interference parameters are not considered because there is no mining activity in the research location.
- The groundwater weight at the study site is considered dry.

# 2. Research Methodology

The research method applied is research and data processing. Activities undertaken in this study are literature study, field investigation, laboratory testing, data processing from the field and laboratory and analyzing the stability and geometry of the underground aperture in the research area. Stages of research conducted on this research is described, as follows:

# 2.1. Study of literature

The study of literature is done by searching library materials that support and obtained from: Library, Internet, related institutions

Field observation

Conducted by reviewing directly to the field conditions, especially related data to be taken.

# 2.2. Data retrieval

Data collection in this research is as follows:

- Observation and measurement of rock mass classification parameters, in this case used rock mass classification system Geological Strength Index (GSI)
- Measurement of hole dimensions of openings.
- Intake of rock samples for testing at Laboratory (Physical and mechanical properties)

**IOP** Publishing

#### 2.3. Testing in the laboratory

Laboratory tests include physical properties and mechanical properties of rocks to meet the parameters in the stability analysis of the openings.

# 2.4. Data Processing

After all the good data from the results of observations and test results in the next stage of the laboratory is to perform data processing to obtain openings by using the finite element method method.

#### 3. Basic Theory

#### 3.1. Classification of Rock Mass

In the rock mass classification, it is necessary to observe the geological condition in the research location and the special treatment of the rocks to know the characteristics of the rock mass to be studied. The treatment in question can be a test of physical properties and mechanical properties of the rock. In this study used rock mass classification system Geological Strength Index (Hoek & Brown, 1980). Geological Strength Index (GSI) mass classification systems can be directly related to engineering parameters such as Mohr-Coulomb, Power parameters such as Hoek-Brown or rock mass modulus.

#### 3.2. Hoek-Brown Collapse Criteria

The Hoek-Brown collapse criterion is a criterion of empirical collapse that determines rock strength based on maximum and minimum effective stresses during rock failure. The criteria for the collapse of Hoek-Brown have been widely renewed, the most recent being The Generalized Hoek-Brown Criterion (Hoek, 2002) where the maximum and minimum effective voltage relationships are shown in the following equations:

$$\sigma_1' \qquad = \sigma_3' + \sigma_{ci} \left( m_b \frac{\sigma_3'}{\sigma_{ci}} + s \right)^a \tag{1}$$

Information:

 $\sigma_1 \wedge =$  Maximum effective voltage

 $\sigma_3 \wedge =$  Minimum effective voltage

 $\sigma_{ci}$  = Strong press rock intact

m\_b = Hoek-Brown value constant

s and a = Constants that depend on the rock mass characteristics

The values of the constants mb, s and a can be calculated as a function of GSI (Geological Strength Index).

#### 3.3. Criteria for Mohr-Coulomb Collapse

To simplify calculations in rock mechanics the Mohr envelope is considered a straight line. Therefore Mohr-Coulomb criteria are defined as follows:

$$\tau = C + \mu\sigma \tag{2}$$

Information :

 $\tau =$  Shear stress

C = Cohesion

 $\mu$  = friction coefficient in rock (tan $\phi$ )

 $\sigma$  = Normal Voltage

Based on (2) the shear stress is expressed in the formula:

$$\sigma_m = \left(\frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_3}{2}\right) \tag{3}$$

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 212 (2018) 012037 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/212/1/012037

In the Karetician Axis x and y:

$$R = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma_y - \sigma_x}{2}\right)^2 + \tau_{xy}^2} \tag{4}$$

And according to Mohr:

$$a = \sigma_m \sin \phi + C \cos \phi \tag{5}$$

$$a = \left(\frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_3}{2}\right) \sin \phi + C \cos \phi \tag{6}$$

$$R = \frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_3}{2} \tag{7}$$

In the x and y Cartesian axis:

$$a = \left(\frac{\sigma_x + \sigma_y}{2}\right) \sin \phi + C \cos \phi \tag{8}$$



Figure 3. Mohr-Coulomb Curve.

Where

σ\_1: Major Voltage (MPa)

 $\sigma_3$ : Minor voltage (MPa)

 $\Box$ : Shear stress (MPa)

- φ: Inner friction angle (°)
- c: Cohesion (MPa)
- a: The distance from the center of the circle to the intrinsic curve (cm)
- R: The radius of the circle (cm)
- t: Intrinsic curve

# 3.4. Finite Element Method (Finite Element Method)

The finite element method connects conditions at some point in the rock mass (nodal points) to a state within a finite closed region formed by these points (elements). Physical problems are numerically modeled by dividing the entire problem area into elements. However, the finite element is not suitable for modeling infinite boundaries, as occurs in underground excavation problems. One technique for dealing with infinite boundaries is to discretize outside the excavation zone and apply the appropriate boundary conditions to the outside edge.

# 4. Results and Discussion

#### 4.1. Field Observation Results

Data retrieval at field observation activities yield some parameters which will be obtained parameters for calcification of rock mass using Geological Strength Index (GSI). The GSI rock mass classification

system is intended to estimate the reduced strength of a rock mass caused by different geological conditions. The result of field observation showed that the limestone in the research location has a GSI value of 70-80.



Figure 4. Location of GSI Data Collection.



Figure 5. GSI Value Estimation.

In addition to the mass classification of rocks, the results of field observations are data on the dimensions of the openings being examined. Due to the form of irregular opening holes to facilitate the delivery of the results hole openings are divided into several parts, namely left wall, right wall, and roof. The dimensions of openings and portions are illustrated as follows:



Figure 6. Dimensions of openings Stope.



**Figure 7.** Conditions of openings and parts thereof.

# 4.2. Testing at the Laboratory

After taking the rock samples on field observation activities, the samples were taken to the laboratory for testing. Examination of rock samples includes physical properties test, mechanical properties test to fulfill parameters in hole stability analysis. The results of testing in the laboratory are as follows:

Table 1. Result of Tests of Physical Properties			
Sample	γn	γd	γw
Code	(gr/cm3)	(gr/cm3)	(gr/cm3)
PJG - L - 1	2.14	2.08	2.26
PJG - L - 2	1.99	1.91	2.14
PJG - L - 3	2.06	2.04	2.24
Average	2.06	2.01	2.22

Information

yn: The weight of the original content  $(gr / [cm] ^3)$ 

yd: The weight of the dry content  $(gr / [cm])^3$ 

yw: Weight of saturated content  $(gr / [[cm]]^3)$ 

Table 2. Mechanical Testing Results							
Sample Code	σc (MPa)	E (Mpa)	υ	Peak		Residu	
			-	c (Mpa)	ø	c (Mpa)	ø
PJG - 1	4.00	200.00	0.21	42.19	22.88	25.59	14.35

Information :

 $\sigma$  c: Uniaxial compressive strength (MPa)

E: Modulus of elasticity (MPa)

v: Poisson ratio

c: Cohesion

 $\varphi$ : Inner friction angle (°)

Test results in the next laboratory will be used as parameters to make the modeling in the stability analysis of openings using Phase2 software.

#### 4.3. Data processing

Data processing using Phase2 software aims to make numerical modeling of openings based on the parameters that have been obtained. After performing numerical modeling, we will get some values for this research are sigma value 1, sigma 3, strength factor, and total displacement.

#### 4.3.1. Numerical Modelling

Numerical modelling using Phase 2 software would be facilitating the calculation of stope stability analysis with multiple selection of failure criterion. In this research, the Hoek-Brown and Mohr Coulomb failure criterion are used as comparators. The result of numerical modelling as follows :

#### 4.3.2. Sigma 1 and Sigma 3

Sigma 1 is a vertical stress, while sigma 3 is a horizontal stress. Based on the result of numerical simulation is obtained the value of vertical stress greater than horizontal stress value.

Table 3. Sigma 1 and Sigma 3 Results.				
Location	Hoek-Brown		Mohr-Coulomb	
Location	σ1	σ3	σ1	σ3
Left	222.96	118.4	169.41	64.77
Left	226.55	33.14	73.09	6.83
Left	198.65	27.2	75.42	7.09
Roof	171.61	26	92.41	11.29
Roof	137.74	8.93	57.66	0.14
Roof	162.64	13.17	61.99	2
Roof	201.4	67.75	141.03	28.76
Right	215.96	52.35	135.13	24.32
Right	199.43	34.14	81.07	10.7
Right	219.96	27.7	84.04	12.39
Right	248.81	32.55	85.52	13.09

# 4.3.3. Strength Factor

Strength factor is represents the strength of the material against the induced stress, at a certain point. Strength of material is based on the material strength properties.

T	Strength Factor			
	Hoek-Brown	Mohr Coulomb		
Left	4.96	1.41		
Left	2.09	1.04		
Left	2.16	1.02		
Roof	2.35	1.18		
Roof	2.23	1.05		
Roof	2.15	1.09		
Roof	3.23	1.18		
Right	2.58	1.11		
Right	2.29	1.03		
Right	2.04	1.02		
Right	1.97	1.02		

# 4.3.4. Total Displacement

The rock mass dsiplacement value is proposed to determine stability condition of the stope which is correlating with rock mass behaviour. The greater value of the rock displacement shows that the rock mass more unstable. Decreasing of value displacement could be indicating the stability of the rock mass in stope.

Table 5. Total Displacment Results.				
Location	Total Displacement			
	Hoek-Brown	Mohr Coulomb		
Left	2.42E-04	5.68E-04		
Left	6.28E-04	1.49E-03		
Left	7.08E-04	1.21E-03		
Roof	7.66E-04	1.15E-03		
Roof	8.39E-04	1.52E-03		
Roof	7.42E-04	1.61E-03		
Roof	4.16E-04	9.21E-04		
Right	4.79E-04	9.29E-04		
Right	6.11E-04	1.36E-03		
Right	6.11E-04	1.43E-03		
Right	5.81E-04	1.29E-03		

# 5. Conclusion

#### **Strength Factor**

In this research, the variation value of strength factor is obtained from comparison between two failure criterion. The results of the calculation using Hoek-Brown failure criterion shows the more optimized strength factor value than Mohr Coulomb failure criterion. Analysis the strength factor value using Hoek-Brown failure criterion achieve the mean of strength factor value > 1, whereas the analysis using Mohr Coulomb failure criterion on the certain point shows the critical condition.

#### **Total Displacement**

The total displacement value using Hoek-Brown failure criterion is smaller than Mohr Coulomb criterion. That means, analysis of the rock mass stability using Hoek-Brown failure criterion is more stable with the maximum displacement 0.000766 mm, and the maximum displacement of Mohr Coulomb failure criterion 0,00152 mm.

#### Acknowledgment

The would like to thanks to the support and the authors also gratefully thanks to Dr. Ir. Singgih Saptono, MT., Vice Rector III, UPN "Veteran" Yogyakarta which has guided to the completion in this study.

#### References

- [1] Tobing R F, Pradani D I dan Putri R H 2015 Analisis Kestabilan Lubang Bukaan dan Pillar dalam Rencana Pembuatan Tambang Bawah Tanah Batugamping Dengan Metode Room and Pillar di Desa Sidorejo Kecamatan Lendah Kab.Kulonprogo DIY.Prosiding Seminar Nasional ReTII.Diperoleh dari https://journal.sttnas.ac.id/ReTII/article/download/297/238
- [2] Rai M A, Kramadibrata S dan Wattimena R K 2014 Mekanika Batuan (Bandung: Institut Teknologi Bandung)
- [3] Hoek E 2004 *Numerical Modelling for Shallow Tunnels in Weak Rock* Discussion Paper #3.Diperoleh dari https://www.rocscience.com/documents/pdfs/ShallowTunnels.pdf.
- [4] Wyllie D C and Mah C W 2004 *Rock Slope Engineering : Civil and Mining4thEd* (London: The Institution of Mining and Metallurgy)