



PROCEEDING



INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON SOCIAL AND POLITICAL SCIENCES

THEME

Empowering Indigenous Knowledge for Accelerating the Welfare State,
Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, Sebelas Maret University,
Wednesday, May 15, 2013

Keynote Speakers:

1. Dr. Nils Wagenknecht (Lecturer in International Program, Faculty of Law, Gadjah Mada University, an Adviser of DAAD on Studying in Germany)
2. Prof. Dr. Amri Marzali (University of Malaya, Malaysia)
3. Mathiue Mergans, M.MAECO., (Universite de la Rochelle, France)
4. Naomi Kawasaki, B.A., M.Hum., (Japan)
5. Prof. Drs. Rusdi Muchtar, M.A., (LIPI, Jakarta, Indonesia)
6. Prof. Dr. Andriik Purwasito, DEA. (Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta Indonesia)
7. Drs. Sudarmo, M.A., Ph.D. (Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta, Indonesia)

Reviewers:

1. Prof. Dr. Amri Marzali
2. Naomi Kawasaki, B.A., M.Hum.
3. Sri Hastjarjo, S.Sos., Ph.D.
4. Dr. Didik G. Suharto, M.Si.
5. Asal Wahyuni Erlin Mulyadi S.Sos., MPA.





PROCEEDING



INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON SOCIAL AND POLITICAL SCIENCES

THEME

**Empowering Indigenous Knowledge for Accelerating the Welfare State,
Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, Sebelas Maret University,
Wednesday, May 15, 2013**

Keynote Speakers:

- 1 Dr. Nils Wagenknecht (Lecturer in International Program, Faculty of Law, Gadjah Mada University, an Adviser of DAAD on Studying in Germany)
- 2 Prof. Dr. Amri Marzali (University of Malaya, Malaysia)
- 3 Mathiue Mergans, M.MAECO., (Universite de la Rochelle, France)
- 4 Naomi Kawasaki, B.A., M.Hum., (Japan)
- 5 Prof. Drs. Rusdi Muchtar, M.A., (LIPI, Jakarta, Indonesia)
- 6 Prof. Dr. Andrik Purwasito, DEA. (Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta Indonesia)
- 7 Drs. Sudarmo, M.A., Ph.D. (Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta, Indonesia)

Reviewers:

1. Prof. Dr. Amri Marzali
2. Naomi Kawasaki, B.A., M.Hum.
3. Sri Hastjarjo, S.Sos., Ph.D.
4. Dr. Didik G. Suharto, M.Si.
5. Asal Wahyuni Erlin Mulyadi S.Sos., MPA.

Sebelas Maret University Press

List of Contents

Welcome from Rector, Sebelas Maret University	iii
Prof. Dr. Ravik Karsidi, M.S.	iii
Welcome from Dean, Faculty of Social and Political and Political Sciences, Sebelas Maret University	
Prof. Dr. Pawito, Ph.D.	iv
Welcome from the chair of Operating Committee	
Dr. Ahmad Zuber, S.Sos., DEA	v
List of Contents	vi
List of Committees	viii

KEYNOTE SPEAKERS

Adat Law, Local Wisdom, and Regional Autonomy in Indonesia	
Amri Rizali	1
Discourse of Japanese Local Wisdom in Modernity for Japanese Welfare and Development	
Mathieu Mergans	12
Japanese Local Wisdom Discourse in Modernity for Japanese Welfare and Development	
Kawasaki Naomi	25
The Role of Media in Empowering and Disseminating Indigenous Knowledge	
Rusdi Muchtar	34
Astabrata as Fundamental Reference to Anti-Corruption	
Andrik Purwasito	40
Towards Social Welfare for the Disadvantaged Groups : Lessons from the City Government Policy on Street Vendors and the Closure of Organized Prostitution of Surakarta in the Early 2000 th	
Sudarmo	50

PARALLEL SESSION 1

The Social-Economy Impacts of Women Migrant Workers on Their Families and Communities (A Case Study in Waru Doyong)	
Arianti Ina R. Hunga	65

Local Terminology of Political Communication in Cirebon and Indramayu	
Ida Ri'aeni	76
The Model of Gender Mainstreaming Socialization for Society Welfare (Study in Yogyakarta Special Region/DIY Province)	
Puji Lestari and Machya Astuti Dewi	88
Traditional Knowledge of Rural Community to Increase Family Welfare: a Case Study of Sanggang Village	
Ahmad Zuber	104

PARALLEL SESSION 2

Track II Diplomacy on Managing Conflict in the South China Sea: an Indonesia's Experience	
Ign. Agung Satyaan	115
Social Capital and Local Wisdom in Social Group as a Means of Bring the Well-Being into Reality for the People of Samiran Village, Selo Subdistrict, Boyolali Regency, Central Java	
Argo Demartoto	130
The Contribution Of Local Autonomy Policy In Encouraging The Development And Oneness Of NKRI (Republic Of Indonesia)	
Didik G. Suharto	142
News Radio in New Media: Developing Citizen Journalists and Empowering Community All at Once Evidences from Suara Surabaya Radio	
Nora Nailul Amal	150

PARALLEL SESSION 3

Implementation of The Local Code No.2 Year 2008 in Creating Of Disabled Equality (Study on the Responsiveness of the City of Surakarta in Fulfilling the Right of Occupation for Disabled Women)	
Sofiah, Ismi Dwi Astuti Nurhaeni, dan Rina Herlina Haryanti	157
<i>Kethoprak</i> as a Forgotten Entertainment Medium	
Sri Hilmi Pujihartati	176
Nationality and Identity of The Nation of Indonesia: A Sociological Perspective Of Law	
RB. Soemanto	182
The Role of The Traditional Media in Educating the Indonesian Nation	
Sutopo	192
Program and Agenda	198

List of Committees

**International Conference/ Call for Papers
INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON SOCIAL AND
POLITICAL SCIENCES:
Empowering Indigenous Knowledge for Accelerating
the Welfare State
Wednesday, May 15, 2013**

Responsible Person

: Prof. Drs. H. Pawito, Ph.D.
Dekan FISIP UNS

Steering Committee (SC)

Ketua

: Drs. Agung Priyono, M.Si. (PD I FISIP UNS)

Anggota

- : 1. Drs. Hamid Arifin, M.Si. (PD II FISIP UNS)
2. Dra. Suyatmi, MS. (PD III FISIP UNS)
3. Heni Prihutani, S.H. (KTU FISIP UNS)
4. Drs. Is hadri Utomo, M.Si. (Kajur Ilmu Administrasi)
5. Dra. Sudaryanti, M.S (Sekjur Ilmu Administrasi)
6. Dra. Prahastiwi Utari, M.Si. Ph.D.(Kajur Ilmu Komunikasi)
7. Tanti Hermawati, S.Sos, M.Si (Sekjur Ilmu Komunikasi)
8. Dr. Bagus Haryono, M.Si (Kajur Sosiologi)
9. Drs. Th. A. Gutama, M.Si (Sekjur Sosiologi)
10. Prof. Dr. Andrik Purwasito, DEA. (Kajur Ilmu Hubungan Internasional)
11. Drs. Budiharjo, M.Si. (Sekjur Ilmu Hubungan Internasional)
12. Ir. Tardi, M.M.
13. Aris Surjanto, S.Sos.
14. Dra. Retnowati HS.

Operating Committee (OC)

Ketua Panitia

: Dr. Ahmad Zuber, S.Sos., DEA.

Wakil Ketua

: Siti Zunariyah, S.Sos., M.Si.

**Sekretaris 1 (Surat Menyurat,
Cetak Sertifikat, dan Daftar Hadir)**

: Eka Nada Shofa A., S.Sos., M.Si.

**Sekretaris 2 (Surat Menyurat,
Cetak Sertifikat, dan Daftar Hadir)**

: Annisa Paramita Wiharani, SIP, M.A.

- Bendahara** : Nora Nailul Amal, S.Sos., M.LMed.Hons.
Endang Setyowati, S.Sos.
Ir. Tardi, M.M.
- Seksi Acara** : Dr. Argyo Demartoto, M.Si.
Dr. Didik G. Suharto, S.Sos., M.Si.
Sri Hastjarjo, S.Sos, Ph.D.
Lukman Fahmi D., SIP, M.Si.
- Seksi Persidangan** : Asal Wahyuni Erlin M., S.Sos., MPA.
Salieg Luki M., S.S., M.A.
- Seksi Akomodasi dan Konsumsi** : Firdastin Ruthnia Yudiningrum, S.Sos., M.Si.
Leni Winarni, SIP, M.Si.
- Seksi Publikasi (Dokumentasi Foto/ Video, poster, Spanduk, Co-Card), Prosiding)** : Sri Herwindya Baskara, S.Sos., M.Si.
Suhardi, A.Md.
- Cultural Night** : Asal Wahyuni Erlin M., S.Sos., MPA.
- Moderator for Panel Session** : Drs. IGN. Agung Satyawan, S.E., M.Si.
Prof. Dr. RB. Soemanto, M.A.
- Chair Person for Parallel Session** : Dr. Argyo Demartoto, M.Si.
Dr. Didik G. Suharto, S.Sos., M.Si.
Sri Hastjarjo, S.Sos, Ph.D.
Lukman Fahmi D., SIP, M.Si.
Asal Wahyuni Erlin M., S.Sos., MPA.
- Perlengkapan, Dekorasi (backdrop), Seminar Kit, dan Sound system)** : Sadar Sutopo, S.T.
Daru Sasongko Kartika Aji, A.Md.
- MC** : Annisa Paramita Wiharani, SIP, M.A.
Salieg Luki M., S.S., M.A.
- Penjaga Daftar Hadir** : Haris Annisari, A.Md
Nora Nailul Amal, S.Sos., M.LMed.Hons.
(Pembayaran Pendaftaran Seminar)
Randi, SIP., M.A. (Pendaftaran Sertifikat, SPPD,
(Daftar Hadir Presenter, Daftar Peserta, Daftar
Hadir Tamu Undangan)

THE MODEL OF GENDER MAINSTREAMING SOCIALIZATION FOR SOCIETY WELFARE (Study in Yogyakarta Special Region/DIY Province)

Puji Lestari and Machya Astuti Dewi

Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, University of Pembangunan Nasional "Veteran"
Yogyakarta Jl. Babarsari 2 Yogyakarta, Phone / Fax. (0274) 487147, Hp. 08156874669,
email: pujilestariginting@yahoo.co.id

Abstract

The aim of this study is find training model of gender mainstreaming for the officers and staffs of departments in DIY. The qualitative method is used, using Focus Group Discussion (FGD) as data collecting and analyze models of gender mainstreaming trainings. The result is a gender budgeting training model which tested for two days. The participants are resource persons/trainers from NGOs and staffs from departments of DIY province, including Bantul, Gunung Kidul, Kulon Progo, and Sleman. All participants Test results satisfied with the materials, methods and sources. Gender budgeting training result can be implemented in their respective offices. This model can facilitate in making the program work and implemented in a gender responsive planning, implementation, and evaluation of programs, and supported by personnel in all agencies that have adequate knowledge about the gender mainstreaming, for the sake of harmony and well-being of society, especially in DIY province.

Keywords: Gender mainstreaming, gender budgeting

Introduction

Regional autonomy is expected to create a just and prosperous development for the people, both men and women, because it is the ultimate goal of the development process. Presidential Instruction Number 9/2000 on the implementation of gender mainstreaming in the development process is reinforced by Permendagri Number 15/2008 on the implementation of gender mainstreaming in the area as well as the Ministry Decree Number 119/2009 shows that the commitment of national and local governments to achieve stronger

development that provides justice and equality for all people regardless of men and women, in order to achieve a prosperous society.

Whether policies and programs or activities on the development in DIY province gender responsive already? We should pay attention that the beginning of decision making process dominated by men. In village / district level (kalurahan) they are men who invited to attend the Development Planning Meeting, so that women's access is very small. Moreover the number of women at the district level that will determine the development priorities of the program is very small (Partini, 2004: 321).

Komnas Perempuan noted that 64 of the 154 regional policies are discriminative, even criminalize women. Women are the most vulnerable in many situations because of power relations between men and women are unequal (gender inequality) in the different spheres of life. Not surprisingly, various reports show the entire impact of poverty is that woman that burdensome. Although a gender budgeting role in the whole process of state, the majority of women are still in the position of having no voice and injustice. There is still discrimination and violence against women in various forms, political participation gap, the low quality of life of women and children, and the development of achievement gaps between women and men. Inequality of power relations is very important in the decision-making process, starting at the family level to the national policy. As a result, public policy does not take into consideration the different needs of women and men (gender blind). Indonesia may not achieve prosperity, justice, and progress in democracy when it does not consider the actual root of the whole problem, which is gender inequality. The root of the problems that exist in all countries is realized many experts throughout the world. In 1985, the Third World Conference on Women in Nairobi, for the first time proposed the concept of gender mainstreaming to promote gender equality. The idea was formally raised in the Fourth World Conference on Women and Development in Beijing, China, 1995. United Nations (UN) since 1997 appointed Special Adviser on Gender Mainstreaming. (Maria Hartiningsih and Ninuk Mardiana Pambudy, (<http://cetak.kompas.com/read/xml/2010/05/14/03412282/supaya.perempuan.tidak.terus.terbisukan>, Friday, May 14, 2010 accessed September 22, 2010).

Lestari, Dewi, and Cahyaningtyas (2009) found that the implementation of gender mainstreaming in DIY province is not well implemented. There are several causes that impede the implementation of gender mainstreaming in DIY Province. First, the lack of political support, as reflected by the low allocation of funds for the gender mainstreaming program. This is caused by poor understanding of government agencies in defining what gender mainstreaming actually is. Most of them are still erred in interpreting gender mainstreaming program as a program for women. It appears during the FGDs with offices in DIY province. When the facilitator asked all representatives from DIY to tell the gender mainstreaming program implementation in their respective offices almost all participants expressed programs for women designed by each department. Representatives from the Department of Tourism, for example, reveals a number of programs for women's activities in 2009, the community culinary training in Goa Mulo Gunung Kidul district, Depok Beach and Trimulyo Bantul, Yogyakarta Diajeng Dimas competition, Jogja Fashion Week, World Food Day commemoration, title batik " Confidence with beautiful batik ", and the title of traditional foods. Representatives from the Department of Labor and Transmigration coaching program suggests women victims of layoffs. They are trained in batik and culinary

skills in order to have economic independence. Representatives from the Department of Marine and Fisheries said the DIY province empowerment programs for women's groups in the 3 districts of fishermen so that they could help her husband, the seaweed farming and fish farming in the sand land done by women (FGD, August 19, 2009). Meanings that gender mainstreaming is identical to the program for the women of course wrong because the program for women is not automatically gendered perspective.

The second obstacle is the lack of availability of human resources in the respective government agencies that have gender sensitivity. Availability of human resources gender perspective has not been evenly distributed across departments and government agencies. In general, their performance is also not maximized because most have not been able to empower and promote gender equality in the work environment. This issue relates to personnel transfers that are not always placed in the same position. In some cases employees are already trained for handle gender mainstreaming then moved to another department or other part that is not associated with the gender mainstreaming program. While new employee handling gender mainstreaming program has not yet understand what gender mainstreaming is.

The third constraint, is that most of the offices in DIY province do not have disaggregated data on the conditions of women and men. This condition impacts on the programs that are less precise because it is too generalize the needs of women and men (Zakiyah, Wasingatu (2009). Lack of disaggregated data lead policymakers find difficulty when it comes to gender-responsive budgeting.

The fourth constraint, it not all offices in the province of Yogyakarta has knowledge of gender analysis tools and maximize the Working Group (WG) which handles gender mainstreaming. According to the mandate Kepmendagri number 15 of 2008 all government agencies should have had a gender mainstreaming Working Group. According Zakiyah, Wasingatu (2007), the Work Plan Budget (RKA) from each department has not noticed the beneficiaries output (how many men, how many women). Bappeda performance is also not maximized, whenever approving the budget they don't check the impact of the program. Bappeda should always look at indicators of access, control, participation and benefits for men and women.

The fifth obstacle is the lack of involvement and community participation. So far, the people who showed concern for the gender mainstreaming is still limited to women activists, NGOs and Center for Woman Studies at various universities. While the involvement and meaningful participation of community leaders and religious leaders have not clearly visible. This is not apart from the patriarchal culture that is still deeply ingrained in society. Real involvement of women mostly in realizing gender mainstreaming is still far from ideal. At least this condition reflected by the low participation of women in planning, budgeting, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of development in DIY province. One example that proves the low participation of women in the province, namely the low participation of women in parliament (Lestari, Puji, Machya Dewi Astuti, and June Cahyaningtyas (2010) are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Number of Members of Parliament by Region and Gender Special Province of Yogyakarta in 1999-2004 and 2004-2009

Region	1999-2004			2004-2009		
	Female	Male	Total	Female	Male	Total
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Yogyakarta Special Region Province	5 (9,09%)	50 (90,91%)	55 (100%)	5 (9,09%)	50 (90,91%)	55 (100%)
Bantul	3 (6,67%)	42 (93,33%)	45 (100%)	4 (8,89%)	41 (91,11%)	45 (100%)
Kulonprogo	2 (5,56%)	34 (94,44%)	36 (100%)	4 (11,43%)	31 (88,57%)	35 (100%)
Gunungkidul	2 (4,44%)	43 (95,56%)	45 (100%)	1 (2,22%)	44 (97,78%)	45 (100%)
Sleman	2 (4,44%)	43 (95,56%)	45 (100%)	4 (8,89%)	41 (91,11%)	45 (100%)
Yogyakarta	1 (2,7%)	35 (97,22%)	36 (100%)	7 (20,00%)	28 (80,00%)	35 (100%)
Total	15 (5,72%)	247 (94,28%)	262 (100%)	25 (9,62%)	235 (90,38%)	260 (100%)

Source: Statistic of Gender and Analysis on Provincial Level of Yogyakarta Special Region, 2006.

In order to strive for the gender mainstreaming program in DIY province the writer formulate the problem as follows: (1) what kind gender mainstreaming socialization models that already implemented in DIY province? (2) What alternative models for gender mainstreaming socialization to overcome gender mainstreaming obstacles in Yogyakarta?

Gender mainstreaming or gender mainstreaming strategy is a systematic way of encouraging gender equality, the most important base reaches eight Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), the international agreements signed by President Abdurrahman Wahid at UN Headquarters in New York in 2000 (<http://cetak.kompas.com/read/2010/05/14/03402968/di.tengah.gelombang>, accessed on September 22, 2010).

According to Dewi (2006), gender mainstreaming is a strategy, approach, means of achieving gender equality. Gender mainstreaming is the process of measuring and assessing the impact of each action plan, including legislation, policies and programs in all areas and levels (Silawati, 2006) Gender mainstreaming is intended to make the needs and experiences of men and women as an integral dimension in the realm of political, economic, social, legal and others so that women and men receive equal benefit from the various programs and policy development. According to Minister of PPPA, the ministry budget was Rp 50 billion in APBN Changing year 2010. According to Rencana Kerja dan Anggaran (RKA) Ministry of PPPA, the allocation for protection section and children Rp 28.06 billion, capacity building Rp 15 billion, legislation, gender mainstreaming, as well as the fulfillment of child rights Rp 3.94 billion, and the section of fulfillment of international commitments Rp 3 billion (<http://cetak.kompas.com/read/xml/2010/05/14/03412282/supaya.perempuan.tidak.ter.us.terbisukan>, accessed on September 22, 2010).

Strategy that has been done is through gender mainstreaming and gender responsive budgeting accordance with the Regulation of the Minister of Finance No. 119 of 2009 on Gender Responsive Budgeting (GENDER BUDGETING). Thus desires, concerns, and aspirations of men and women can be accommodated in the planning and implementation of development, so that outcomes could provide the same effect for both men and women.

In order to unify the efforts of the various parties in implementing gender mainstreaming and gender responsive budgeting, necessary to develop a coordinated action plan, so that all efforts can be implemented optimally. It is required an understanding of the implementation of gender mainstreaming and gender responsive budgeting. In addition, it takes a commitment from local governments, NGOs and universities (Center for Women's Studies) to implement gender mainstreaming and GENDER BUDGETING.

Gender is different from sex. In this case there is an issue of social construction, roles, access, construction of religious, cultural construction plays an important role. Gender focus is Male and female. Gender may change from time to time in accordance places and cultures.

Planning and gender responsive budgeting includes 3 things (Aksara, 2010): (1) Specific (for special groups): The budget specifically allocated for mgender budgetinginalized groups or a specific gender group. (2) Affirmative: The budget allocated for capacity building efforts gender groups or certain mgender budgetinginal groups. (3) Mainstreaming: The budget allocated for activities that impact is felt directly to mgender budgetinginalized groups.

According to Aksara (2010) Gender roles are roles that have been made by certain groups (especially men and women) are in gender relations, in some point often be unfair; (1) Domestic is an area that often is within the scope of homework (washing, cooking, caring for children). This work is often not rewarded with money (unpaid work). (2) Reproductive work related to the reproductive organs. (3) Productive work is rewarded with money or the work produced / income generating. (4) Community work or activity that is related to community / social. (5) Politics is politics, including working in the area of political education.

Gender sensitivity consists of: (1) Gender bias that is an activity that could be made to other groups but only addressed to a particular group (eg: KB only for women). (2) gender blind that are activities that do not pay attention (to the typical late-) beneficiaries. (3) gender neutral that is an activity that does not care about the different needs of mgender budgetinginalized groups. (4) Gender responsive or respond to typical late-gender groups / certain mgender budgetinginalized groups are activities that pay attention and care to the typical late-groups with specific characteristics / certain mgender budgetinginal groups (Aksara, 2010).

Realization of rights for poor compliance; (1) Progressive Realization: manifestations in the form of budgetary policy the government's obligation to continue to increase the budget allocation for social welfare. This increase is a real value. Not adjusted for inflation. (2) Full Use of Maximum available: the realization of the government's budget policy is required as much as possible using the sources of income for the government budget expenditures related to the fulfillment of human rights such as education, health, employment, and others (Aksara, 2010).

Equipment / Tools for Monitoring and Evaluation of Gender Responsive Planning and Pro Against gender budgetinginal Groups (Aksara, 2010): (1) **Access**: (a) Is the information on implementation planning forums at village / urban delivered to the citizens of both men and women and mgender budgetinginalized groups? (B) What percentage of the overall invitation to attend musrenbang woman presented to residents, compared to the invitation addressed to the men and women and mgender budgetinginalized groups? (C) any mgender budgetinginal group who received an invitation to attend? (D) Is the planning forums held within the friendly-time women and mgender budgetinginalized groups? (E) What other vulnerable groups are also facilitated in the musrenbang? (2) **Participation**: (a) What is the percentage rate of the real presence of women and men in musrenbang? (B) Is the list of participants present there are about the type of disorder (male or female)? (Yes / No) (c) Are women who attended the event musrenbang follow through to completion? (Yes / No) (d) What might be the barriers for women present in this forum? (E) Control is a control or authority or power to make decisions. (3) **Benefits** of the usefulness of sources that can be enjoyed optimally.

Research Methods

This research is a kind of evaluative research, which evaluates the implementation of the gender mainstreaming program in the era of regional autonomy in DIY province to map the obstacles that cause a need to control the implementation of gender mainstreaming program in DIY province. Formulated from the results of the evaluation of alternative models for gender mainstreaming socialization in DIY province in order that the implementation of gender mainstreaming runs better to improve the society welfare.

Primary data collected from interviews with the Head of the Gender Mainstreaming and Women Empowerment Society (BPPM) DIY. Focus Group Discussion (FGD) invited research subjects as follows; Head of Women Empowerment and Society (BPPM) DIY province, Yogyakarta province Head of Planning, Gender Mainstreaming in the DIY Forum, Forum religion, gender mainstreaming training resource persons in DIY, women activists from NGOs . Data were collected through focus group discussions include, among others: The experience of the participants in attending various gender mainstreaming training ever undertaken by various stakeholders (governments and NGOs), training materials are received, the training method used, the response of the material substance of the training, the participant response methods of training, feedback after training/socialization, input from participants on gender mainstreaming training materials and methods appropriate and well gender budgetingeted.

Secondary data collected from multiple sources is written as follows; gender mainstreaming socialization activity reports by BPPM DIY province, gender mainstreaming advocacy activity reports by women's NGOs, Internet Data regarding gender mainstreaming training programs in some places, in the country of Indonesia, as well as abroad .

Data were analyzed with descriptive-qualitative techniques. First of all the data presented in the overall display of data, which is then reduced and which are not relevant to the subject matter under study and then made categorization. The next stage is to make the interpretations and conclusions of the study. Drawing conclusions from the results obtained

while the idea of socialization models gender mainstreaming ever conducted in the province of Yogyakarta and results achievement. Conclusions regarding the socialization models gender mainstreaming and achievement outcomes combined with gender mainstreaming implementation constraints to formulate an alternative model of gender mainstreaming socialization in Yogyakarta province.

The results and discussion

FGD regarding the evaluation of training programs of gender mainstreaming-gender budgeting of Yogyakarta held on May 27, 2010. The Participants that are invited consist of NGO activists, religious organizations and representatives of gender mainstreaming in forum DIY province. They are further divided into several groups to discuss the experiences they gained from the various training gender mainstreaming ever follow.

Some points prepared for the required participants: First, prior to training matters should be considered: (1) There should be a process for the preparation of pre, during training, and post-training (there is a monitoring). (2) There is a separation between basic and advanced participants. (3) balanced number of the total of male and female participants.

Second, training materials expected participants: (1) Examples of real gender inequities in society that can inspire the spirit. Matter more is expected of the real situation on the ground, socialization Act, rectification understanding that gender is not the same with women. (2) The material on gender inequality experience of the various tribes. (3) Material gender analysis (mosser, pathway) is described and its implementation details (in addition to the theory, there are also applications). (4) gender-based budgeting process with the goal of the level implementers and policy makers. (5) Another important matter is gender and sexuality.

Third, effective methods are considered: (1) participants more active, a lot of discussion (andragogy). (2) Model 30% lecture, 70% discussion better, because the material is more easily absorbed. (3) There is a game. (4) Using the media. (5) The material presented in the form of study. Respectively on education has included gender mainstreaming assess whether or not the programs. (6) Brainstorming / exchange opinions with other areas need to be developed. (7) visits the victims of gender inequality. (8) The training time in one activity a maximum of 3 days, then resumed at a later time. (9) There is a review of the material that has been presented. (10) designed holistically (designed from the beginning to the end) until the goal is reached. (11) If there is a workshop, just one day, the rest of training 3-5 days. (12) Sources should be friendly and attractive. (13) The place outside the office of the afternoon until the evening (for policy makers, so it is not disturbed by the office).

Based on input from focus group discussions with representatives of the Department across DIY and NGO activists who have repeatedly followed or done gender mainstreaming training, it is formulated an alternative models. Models offered by this research aims to make gender mainstreaming program in the province of DIY can work well. Gender mainstreaming model in the form of training for personnel offices in all districts in the province of DIY with materials and methods that actually leads to a full understanding of the importance of gender mainstreaming. Training with concrete actions to implement gender mainstreaming in programs each Government Department.

The following alternative model of images obtained in this study, including Gender Mainstreaming and the Gender Budgeting:




Figure 1. Approach Model of GENDER MAINSTREAMING and GENDER

BUDGETING Training (Aksara,2010)




Figure 2. Process Flow of GENDER MAINSTREAMING and GENDER BUDGETING Training (Aksara,2010)

The training process consists of three stages: (1) planning, (2) implementation, and (3) evaluation.

Phase Planning

In the preparatory phase of training researchers are collaborating with section gender mainstreaming Society of Women Empowerment and provincial DIY for selecting participants will be invited to training. Selection is done by looking at the files roster trainee gender mainstreaming ever undertaken by the BPPM. Taking into account the criteria that the participants who will be invited should have the authority to take decisions, it has been decided that from each county / city in the province of the department as the sample is taken. From each of the Department invited two people, consisting of one person from level 1 policy makers and staff. In the development of the city of Yogyakarta deputy eventually was not invited because the city of Yogyakarta has a good reputation in the implementation of gender mainstreaming. Thus participants invited are representatives from Sleman regency (2), Bantul regency (2), Kulon Progo regency (2), and Gunungkidul regency (2), each consisting of 1 person and 1 policy makers the staff. Sex composition is also considered, so that the overall number of participants who are invited are women and men. During the preparation stage is also discussed about the materials that are really needed by the participants so that the implementation of gender mainstreaming in government bureaus can work well. Materials deliberately chosen so that appropriate goals and objectives, but efficient in implementation. This is especially considering that personnel who occupy the capacity of policy makers will not be able to linger leave his duties in the office. Later in the preparatory stage of this research together with section of gender mainstreaming of BPPM picking facilitators and resource persons are expected to make the atmosphere relaxed and enjoyable training, so participants are not saturated and absorbed the material well.

Implementation

During the implementation phase of training, which the informant among other are women activists (AKSARA) and BPPM (Agency for Women and Community Empowerment DIY). Material is discussed, among others: (1) Sex and gender differences, (2) The issue of gender inequality in the province, (3) gender responsive budget and crew, (4) Analysis of gender, (5) Gender Budget Statement, (6) Gender Responsive RKA, (7) The action plan for the GENDER BUDGETING.

According to research result by Lestari, Puji and Machya Astuti Dewi (2010:200); gender issues in the province, among others: (1) The low representation of women in public decision-making, (2) Access primary education, (3) Health services, (4) Access protection of female workers, (5) Violence women and children, (6) Lack of protection of minorities. Inequalities in the distribution of roles in public office is often the case: (1) The tendency of public space separation between men and women, (2) The key position in public office is still lgender budgetingely dominated by men, (3) Balance the number of employees, (4) Women in traditional post (administration, health, education).

According to field observations, access to health care is still an issue in some areas of the province. In many cases the need for women's health is often not a top priority. The fact also suggests that subordinated the health of poor women and education, but needs still come first cigarette to her husband. At Jamkesmas (Peoples Health Insurance) is also not a lot of poor people who can reach them, moreover, still less human services. Women who come to use the card for free so *Jamkesmas* deemed served less than optimal, when in fact the user *jamkesmas* still paid by the government, among others sourced from public tax dollars as well. Is not appropriate when users should *nrino* with a health card services arbitrarily because they are free but not free ..

In the field of protection of female workers, there is disparity in wages between men and women workers for the same work and the same duration of time. For example, male construction workers get paid every day Rp 35.000, - Rp 25,000 female workers, - Trafficking in women and children is also still an issue. Why do women always traded and not men? Currently appear new mode of female sales TKI / TKW sponsor system. Before TKW departure to work abroad, they are given money of 3-4 million, making it very attractive to prospective migrant workers. The mass media has a very important role in voicing the issues of gender inequality and women's interests. Highlighted the issue of the most recent five-year mass media is the issue of women in political institutions and violence against women (Dewi, Machya Astuti, 2009:231). Many women who experience violence are not reported due to fear of getting a title Dowager, it also shows that women are still dependent on men. Widowhood also always considered negative so they (women) are reluctant to be a widow

At this gender mainstreaming alternative training, participants are invited to understand the Gender budgeting. There are some important points presented to the participants, as well as to arouse the awareness of the need for Gender Responsive Budget if you want the women's needs are met. This suggest to raise awareness for a number of points, statements and participants are asked to respond (Sulistyo, Susilo Budhi & Dati Fatimah, 2010).

Statement:

- The budget will automatically be responsive to women's gender: because everything is geared for women, supporting women (Agree), Gender is not only women, but the women addressed the budget if it is not right (Disagree).
- The budget is oriented towards the poor certainly have an understanding of gender responsive gender including minority groups. Rural poor groups dominated by women (Agree). Government programs on poverty reduction is not the right tgender budgeting (Disagree).
- Gender budgeting could be justification for increasing the number of budget (Agree)
- To keep the gender responsive budgets can run the system must be integrated with the area, so it depends on the commitment of gender bias (Disagree), political power can influence, gender budgeting must integrate with other activities, a problem can not be solved only from one side only (Agree).

The participants were then invited to see examples of gender Responsive activities. One is the eradication of poverty in the empowerment of women farmers.

According to Aksara (2010) GENDER BUDGETING pioneered by Australian women by slipping document called budget statement to see is there any impact of previous policies against women. Philippines to apply 5% of the budget for gender equality. In England called Child Tax Credit. In South Africa called the Child Support Grant. In Indonesia it is known after Kepmendagri no. 132 of 2003 was revised to Permendagri No. 15 of 2008 which requires a minimum of 5% of the budget in the budget area of gender mainstreaming. Gender budgeting is not a separate budget between men and women and does not always mean additional budget. Gender budgeting is more emphasis on equality issues. GENDER BUDGETING is important for the following reasons: (1) To contribute to poverty reduction and development goals (cigarette problem is the problem on poor families though). (2) Accommodate the rights of vulnerable groups. (3) To contribute to the growth and equity. (4) To contribute to the empowerment of women. (5) Increase the support of women in policy.

Gender issues will clearly express and implied in the goals and seen also on a separate indicator, the indicator that focuses on gender-related issues, for example, is domestic violence or encourage male involvement in family planning programs. Gender-sensitive data (eg maternal mortality from childbirth) and aggregated the data (eg, number of students, male and female) are needed for GENDER BUDGETING. Women have access but no control, then it should be made so that women also have control and access.

Gender budgeting also be one way to accelerate the achievement of the MDG's because (Aksara, 2010);

- focusing on vulnerable team (gender-specific allocations, to the public, the public or a particular gender group).
- contribute to the reduction of the gap (allocation affirmation and strengthening the capacity for high-ranking officials and context dependent problems. Example: if an

employee is given birth maternity leave for female employees. Maternity leave in some countries are given also for the male employee's wife to accompany childbirth, such as in Scandinavia and Norway),

- encouraging benefits for all (general allocation to the public in order to utilize equal development between males and females).

Decision against female employees to be able to take time off after giving birth is a form of gender equality. When it works well given the simplicity employees who are breast-feeding women to be given a grace period of employment. The solution is to affirmation and capacity building to ensure access to, and respect for men and women.

- Examples in the Department of Agriculture: Empowerment for women farmers.
- Examples of the Department of Education: acceleration for female teachers after maternity leave, the eradication of illiteracy in rural women.
- Examples of the Public Works Department: refineries river for housewives in the Area of the river, the construction of rural market.
- Examples of Social Affairs: victims of domestic violence training, skills training for street children.

Why budget approach in many countries an essential tool for promoting gender equality policy is an expression of the most solid. The more detail and keen to see the issue, it will seem that most who do not have access.

On the next day followed by a discussion session gender budgeting, especially gender budget statement. Gender budgeting Analysis Tool can be done by the local government and civil society to see how the impact of a program in the community and what the source of the problem. Step integration of gender in the Work Plan and RKA; (1) See affairs. (2) Determining the gender issue, could be a primary and secondary data obtained through sorting of data. (3) See Main Tasks and Functions on education, whether official or Technical Institute. (4) Determine the issues, programs and activities. (5) Prepare RKA.

In analyzing the understanding of gender analysis tools to be very important. One is Gender Analysis Pathway: (1) Determine the general steps. (2) Data opener insights, one of which is sex-disaggregated data, if not then it will be disaggregated data using projective. If no data is opening insights can then use the projection data (qualitative). If there are both much better. (3) Formulate gap factors (qualitative): Access, participation, control, benefits. Examples of activities that women are much higher than in males is planning activities. (4) to formulate gender issues: what are the gaps and why it could happen? (5) Choose the policy objectives, efforts such as what? (6) Is the gap factor is included or not. (7) Gender indicators.

The next step is to analyze gender responsive policies: (1) Formulate a gender issue than a public service. (2) analyze policies related to gender issues. (3) Assess the budget. (4) Analyze whether the appropriate implementation of the budget plan or not. (5) Analyze the impact of changes arising from the budget.

In analyzing the impact of the budget needs to consider the following matters: (1) What benefits are gained both men and women. (2) Is the resulting changes. (3) What are the gender issues that arise.

After the participants gain an understanding of sex and gender differences, gender inequality conditions in the province and the introduction of the Gender budgeting of DIY, all participants were trained to make RKA gender responsive. The day before all participants have been informed to bring RKA offices respectively. At the training sessions to make gender responsive RKA all participants were asked to view, examine and evaluate each RKA to see whether the proposed program is gender responsive or not. Furthermore, each participant trained to develop programs based on the condition of gender responsive and scope of each Department and presented and analyzed by all participants.

Evaluation Phase

Almost all participants stated that they can absorb all the material well. Only a few participants suggested that Gender budgeting material is relatively difficult to understand. Most of the participants actually claimed that the material gender budgeting and GAP is very interesting and important to learn.

Although a small portion of participants said that the content of gender budgeting is difficult, but the results of the evaluation after the training showed that all the materials can be absorbed properly. All participants can explain the relationship between Justice and Gender Equality in development. They can also provide examples of activities based on three categories of expenditure of gender budgeting correctly. In addition all participants can also distinguish specific budget and budget affirmative. They can also answer well when asked to explain the *gender analysis pathway* (GAP) and then briefly explain the relationship between the GAP, GBS, TOR and RKA. Quality answers by the participants is proof that the whole matter can be absorbed well by participants.

When writer asked about the follow-up to what they will do after training all participants responded that they would try to look at their budget and then conduct programs based on gender equality. Participants of the level of staff said that they would try to lobby leaders in order to accommodate programs that are gender sensitive. While the participants of the level of policy makers stated that they will apply the programs to achieve gender equality.

Conclusion

Gender mainstreaming and training programs ever undertaken in the province of Yogyakarta has not fully take effect for the uplifting the living conditions of women in DIY province. Especially at the district level, the position of women is still relatively marginalised. At least it is visible from the living conditions of women in the district of Sleman, Bantul, Kulon Progo and Gunung. Meanwhile in the city of Yogyakarta relatively good condition of women, as well implemented gender mainstreaming program in the city of Yogyakarta.

Based on the evaluation of various gender mainstreaming training that has been done in the DIY province shows that the training has been done is not right on gender budgeting, both from the aspect of materials, methods, and segment trainees. Weaknesses were found from the research why not gender mainstreaming training was effective because participants are always changing and even more so the participants are not people who have the authority to make policy. This resulted after the training materials that have been obtained by the participants during the training could not be pursued.

The study then attempts to offer an alternative model of gender mainstreaming training with the hope of more effective in promoting gender equality. The main aspects of the model that emphasized this alternative is that participants must be someone who has the authority or be involved in decision-making, particularly in relation to the budget. The material is also summarized and deliberately chosen materials that will directly be able to change the fate of women.

From the results of training at least seen the indicators of success. This is evident from the participants enthusiasm, They were eager to follow throughout the material. Another indicator is that all participants properly absorb all the material, proven from the answers they gave in the post test. Another important indicator is the commitment of all participants to follow up on the results that have been obtained in training. They are committed to start looking at the budget in each Department and revise budgets more gender responsive.

Suggestion

Writers suggest it to all parties related to the empowerment of women, especially Bappeda and BPPM that continuously keep doing gender mainstreaming trainings in a more compact format, but it was effective. Each stage of training lasts 2 days and then resumed at a later time with duration of 2 days as well, and so on until the participant has reached an advanced stage. In this training participants should be the same person, that is, those who have a original commitment to gender equality and that is no less important is the participant must be the person who has the authority to make policy and are involved in the formulation of the budget.

Bibliography

- Aksara, *Alat-Alat Analisa Anggaran*. Pelatihan Pengarusutamaan Gender (GENDER MAINSTREAMING), LSM, kerjasama LPPM UPN-BPPM DIY, 4-5 Agustus 2010.
- Aksara, *Sex dan Gender : Jenis Kelamin Sosial dan Biologis*, Pelatihan Pengarusutamaan Gender (GENDER MAINSTREAMING), LSM, kerjasama LPPM UPN-BPPM DIY, 4-5 Agustus 2010.
- Aksara, *Indikator Anggaran Responsif Gender*. Pelatihan Pengarusutamaan Gender (GENDER MAINSTREAMING), LSM, kerjasama LPPM UPN-BPPM DIY, 4-5 Agustus 2010.
- Dewi, Machya Astuti, Media Massa dan Penyebaran Isu Perempuan, *Jurnal Ilmu Komunikasi FISIP UPN "Veteran" Yogyakarta*, Terakreditasi B, ISSN 1693-3029 Volume 7, Nomor 3 September - Desember 2009.
- Dewi, Sinta R., Gendermainstreaming: Feminisme, Gender dan Transformasi Institusi dalam *Jurnal Perempuan*, Edisi 50, 2006.
- IDEA, *Diskusi 16 Hari Tanpa Kekerasan, Kantor Pemberdayaan Perempuan*, Yogyakarta, 10 Desember 2007.
- Lestari, Puji, Machya Astuti Dewi, dan June Cahyaningtyas, Kendala Implementasi Pengarusutamaan Gender (GENDER MAINSTREAMING) dalam rangka Otonomi Daerah di Provinsi Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta, *Laporan Penelitian* (dibiayai DP2M Dikti), Universitas Pembangunan Nasional "Veteran" Yogyakarta, 2009.
- Lestari, Puji, Machya Astuti Dewi, dan June Cahyaningtyas, 2010, The Perceptions Of Gender Bias As Communication Constraint In The Implementation Of Gender Mainstreaming Within The Context Of Regional Autonomy Of The Province Of Yogyakarta Special Region, *The Indonesian Journal of Communication Studies (IJCS)*, Vol 3 No 1 June 2010, Departemen of Communication Studies, Faculty of Social and Political Sciences Universitas Pembangunan Nasional "Veteran" Yogyakarta.
- Lestari, Puji, and Machya Astuti Dewi, Model Komunikasi dalam Sosialisasi Pengarusutamaan Gender dan Anggaran Responsif Gender di Provinsi DI. Yogyakarta, *Jurnal Ilmu Komunikasi FISIP UPN "Veteran" Yogyakarta*, Terakreditasi B, ISSN 1693-3029 Volume 8, Nomor 2 Mei-Agustus 2010.
- Maria Hartiningsih dan Ninuk Mardiana Pambudy, <http://cetak.kompas.com/read/xml/2010/05/14/03412282/supaya.perempuan.tidak.terus.terbisukan>, Jumat, 14 Mei 2010 diakses 22 September 2010.
- Partini, Potret Keterlibatan Perempuan dalam Pelayanan Publik di Era Otonomi Daerah dalam *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Ilmu Politik*, Volume 7, Nomor 3, Maret 2004.
- Silawati, Hartian, Pengarusutamaan Gender: Mulai dari Mana?, *Jurnal Perempuan*, Edisi 50, 2006.

Sulistyo, Susilo Budhi & Dati Fatimah, *Potret Yogyakarta dan Isu Kesenjangan Gender*, LSM AKSARA, Yogyakarta, 2010.

Wasingatu,, Zakiyah, *Internalisasi CEDAW dan MDGs Dalam Perencanaan dan Penganggaran Daerah*, IDEA, Yogyakarta.2007.

Wasingatu,, Zakiyah, *Sekilas Tentang Gender Budget: Bahan Pemantik Workshop Analisis GENDER MAINSTREAMING Bidang Kesejahteraan Sosial*, IDEA, Yogyakarta, 2009.

Statistic of Gender and Analysis on Provincial Level of Yogyakarta Special Region, 2006.

(<http://cetak.kompas.com/read/xml/2010/05/14/03412282/supaya.perempuan.tidak.terus.terbiskan> diakses tanggal 22 September 2010).

<http://cetak.kompas.com/read/2010/05/14/03402968/di.tengah.gelombang> diakses 22 September 2010)..., http://id.wikipedia.org/wiki/Daerah_Istimewa_Yogyakarta diakses 2 Mei 2013).

FGD, August 19, 2009.

FGD, May 27, 2010.